

Title:

Assessing Adult Obesity: A Comprehensive Review of Diagnostic Tools and Measurement Indicators

Authors:

Zhang Wei, PhDa; Sarah Green, MSa; Chen Li, PhDa,b; Jonathan Moore, PhDa;
Kevin Roberts, MPa; Lauren Smith, MSa; Li Yan, PhDc

Abstract

Obesity is a complex, chronic metabolic disorder characterized by excess adiposity and associated with numerous comorbidities, including cardiovascular, endocrine, and immune dysfunctions. As global prevalence and incidence of obesity continue to rise, early and accurate assessment of body composition is critical for effective disease prevention, management, and intervention. This review synthesizes current knowledge regarding adult obesity diagnostic approaches, focusing on commonly used measurement tools and indices. We examine anthropometric indices (body mass index, waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, waist-to-height ratio, neck circumference, skinfold thickness, and body adiposity index), density-based methods (air displacement plethysmography, underwater weighing), advanced imaging techniques (dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging), and bioelectrical impedance analysis. Each approach's advantages, limitations, and practical applicability are discussed. Emphasis is placed on the importance of selecting appropriate methods according to individual, ethnic, and population-level contexts. This comprehensive overview aims to inform improved clinical decision-making and guide future research toward more accurate and accessible obesity assessment technologies.

1. Introduction

Obesity has reached epidemic proportions globally, imposing tremendous burdens on healthcare systems and affecting individuals' health and life quality. Characterized by abnormal or excessive fat accumulation, obesity predisposes individuals to a spectrum of metabolic, cardiovascular, and musculoskeletal disorders, as well as psychological issues [1,2]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), worldwide obesity rates have nearly tripled since 1975, with an estimated 650 million obese adults in 2016 [3]. Effective diagnosis, assessment, and monitoring of obesity are therefore essential for early intervention and health promotion.

Traditional measures, notably body mass index (BMI), have historically served as convenient screening tools due to simplicity and cost-effectiveness. However, BMI alone is insufficient for accurately characterizing adiposity distribution and body composition, prompting the development and validation of complementary measurement techniques [4,5]. Advances in imaging, bioelectrical impedance, and anthropometric indices have refined obesity diagnostics. This review consolidates existing literature on adult obesity diagnostic tools, their underlying principles, limitations, and practical applications, providing a roadmap for clinicians and researchers in selecting and implementing appropriate assessment strategies.

2. Density Measurement Methods

2.1. Air Displacement Plethysmography (ADP)

ADP estimates body density by measuring changes in air volume displaced within a sealed chamber. Devices like the BOD POD offer rapid, noninvasive assessment, demonstrating errors around 1–2% in body fat percentage estimation [6,7]. While

ADP correlates well with reference methods, expenses, technical complexity, and the need for specialized equipment limit widespread use.

2.2. Underwater Weighing (Hydrodensitometry)

Long considered a “gold standard,” underwater weighing determines body density based on weight differences in air versus submerged in water [8].

Correcting for residual lung volume yields body fat percentage estimates with a standard error of approximately 1.3–1.5% [9]. However, the method’s inconvenience, discomfort, and high resource requirements curtail routine clinical use.

3. Anthropometric Indices

3.1. Body Mass Index (BMI)

BMI, calculated as weight (kg)/height² (m²), has been widely adopted for obesity screening due to its simplicity and low cost. Although BMI correlates with body fat, it fails to distinguish between fat and lean mass or account for fat distribution [10]. Despite limitations, BMI remains integral in large-scale epidemiological studies and initial clinical screening.

3.2. Waist Circumference (WC)

WC serves as a surrogate measure of abdominal (visceral) fat, strongly linked to metabolic and cardiovascular risks [11,12]. Different international cutoffs exist, reflecting population-specific differences. WC is simple, low-cost, and clinically relevant, making it valuable in identifying central obesity.

3.3. Waist-to-Hip Ratio (WHR)

WHR, the ratio of WC to hip circumference, indicates fat distribution and central adiposity. It correlates with metabolic disturbances and cardiovascular risk more closely than BMI, though variability in measurement and interpretative complexity limit its routine application [13,14].

3.4. Waist-to-Height Ratio (WHtR)

WHtR (WC/height) effectively identifies central obesity and predicts hypertension, dyslipidemia, and type 2 diabetes risks. WHtR's independence from gender and relatively uniform cutoff (≥ 0.5) streamline comparisons across populations [15,16].

3.5. Neck Circumference (NC)

NC indirectly reflects upper-body subcutaneous fat and correlates with metabolic syndrome, obstructive sleep apnea, and cardiovascular risk [17,18]. While simple to measure, NC cutoffs vary by ethnicity and require further standardization.

3.6. Skinfold Thickness

Measuring skinfold thickness at various body sites (e.g., triceps, subscapular) estimates subcutaneous fat and, by extension, total body fat. Although low-cost and widely accessible, results depend heavily on operator skill, skinfold site selection, and caliper precision [19,20].

3.7. Body Adiposity Index (BAI)

BAI employs HC and height to estimate fat percentage without measuring weight. While simpler than density-based methods, BAI's accuracy remains debated, and it may underperform compared to WC and BMI [21,22].

3.8. A Body Shape Index (ABSI)

ABSI combines WC, BMI, and height to reflect central obesity and associated health risks. While potentially superior to BMI alone, ABSI's complexity and lack of universal standardization limit its clinical uptake [23].

4. Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA)

BIA estimates body composition by measuring electrical impedance differences between fat and lean tissues. BIA devices range from simple hand-held units to sophisticated multi-frequency systems. Although convenient and relatively accurate, BIA is influenced by hydration status, recent exercise, and device-specific algorithms [24,25]. Thus, BIA is suitable for clinical and research settings but requires careful protocol adherence.

5. Dual-Energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DEXA)

DEXA quantifies bone mineral density and partitions body mass into fat and lean compartments with high precision and moderate radiation exposure. DEXA provides regional fat distribution data and correlates strongly with reference methods like CT and MRI [26,27]. Equipment cost, technical complexity, and limited portability restrict DEXA's widespread use in routine practice.

6. Advanced Imaging Techniques: CT and MRI

CT and MRI offer unparalleled detail on adipose tissue distribution and organ-specific fat infiltration, considered reference standards for body composition analysis [28,29]. However, these methods are expensive, less accessible, and may involve radiation (CT) or lengthy scanning times (MRI), limiting their feasibility for large-scale screening.

7. Limitations and Considerations

Each diagnostic tool presents unique advantages and drawbacks. Genetic, ethnic, and sex differences influence body composition and necessitate population-specific criteria. Age-related changes in muscle mass and bone density further complicate obesity assessment [30]. Multiple-marker approaches, combining BMI with WC, WHtR, or BIA, improve accuracy. Standardization and validation studies are essential for new indices like ABSI or BAI. Cost, complexity, and patient comfort also guide method selection.

8. Conclusion

A range of diagnostic tools and indicators enables comprehensive obesity assessment, each with differing accuracy, cost, feasibility, and clinical utility. Anthropometric indices (e.g., BMI, WC, WHtR) remain indispensable for large-scale screening due to simplicity and affordability. BIA, DEXA, and imaging modalities offer refined body composition insights, beneficial for targeted interventions. Future research should pursue harmonized reference standards, integrate multi-indicator approaches, and develop innovative, accessible tools that enhance early detection, personalized management, and prevention of obesity-related comorbidities.

References

- [1] World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight. Updated 2020.
- [2] Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity. *Lancet*. 2014;384:766–781.
- [3] Powell-Wiley TM, Poirier P, Burke LE, et al. Obesity and cardiovascular disease: A scientific statement. *Circulation*. 2021;143:e984–e1010.

- [4] Heymsfield SB, Peterson CM, Thomas DM, et al. Why are there race/ethnic differences in adult body mass index–adiposity relationships? A quantitative critical review. *Obes Rev.* 2016;17:262–275.
- [5] Keys A, Fidanza F, Karvonen MJ, et al. Indices of relative weight and obesity. *J Chronic Dis.* 1972;25:329–343.
- [6] Fields DA, Goran MI, McCrory MA. Body-composition assessment via air-displacement plethysmography in adults and children: A review. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2002;75:453–467.
- [7] Dempster P, Aitkens S. A new air displacement method for the determination of human body composition. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1995;27:1692–1697.
- [8] Siri WE. Body composition from fluid spaces and density: Analysis of methods. *Nutrition.* 1961;9:480–491.
- [9] Brozek J, Grande F, Anderson JT, Keys A. Densitometric analysis of body composition. *J Clin Invest.* 1963;35:1425–1435.
- [10] Gallagher D, Heymsfield SB, Heo M, et al. Healthy percentage body fat ranges: An approach for developing guidelines. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2000;72:694–701.
- [11] Lean ME, Han TS, Morrison CE. Waist circumference as a measure for indicating need for weight management. *BMJ.* 1995;311:158–161.
- [12] Alberti KG, Zimmet PZ. Definition, diagnosis, and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. *Diabet Med.* 1998;15:539–553.
- [13] Ashwell M, Gibson S. Waist-to-height ratio as a screening tool for adult obesity: A systematic review. *Br J Nutr.* 2016;114:1155–1166.
- [14] Lear SA, Humphries KH, Kohli S, Chockalingam A, Frohlich JJ, Birmingham CL. Visceral adipose tissue, subcutaneous adipose tissue, and waist circumference as screening measures for cardiometabolic risk. *Arch Intern Med.* 2007;167:1425–1430.

- [15] Deurenberg P, Deurenberg-Yap M, Guricci S. Asians are different from Caucasians and from each other in their body mass index/body fat per cent relationship. *Obes Rev.* 2002;3:141–146.
- [16] Browning LM, Hsieh SD, Ashwell M. A systematic review of waist-to-height ratio as a screening tool for the prediction of cardiovascular disease and diabetes. *Nutr Res Rev.* 2010;23:247–269.
- [17] Ben-Noun L, Sohar E, Laor A. Neck circumference as a simple screening measure for identifying overweight and obese patients. *Obes Res.* 2001;9:470–477.
- [18] Preis SR, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, et al. Neck circumference as a novel measure of cardiometabolic risk: The Framingham Heart Study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2010;95:3701–3710.
- [19] Heymsfield SB, Wang Z, Baumgartner RN, Ross R. Human body composition: Advances in models and methods. *Annu Rev Nutr.* 1997;17:527–558.
- [20] Jackson AS, Pollock ML, Ward A. Generalized equations for predicting body density of women. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1980;12:175–181.
- [21] Bergman RN, Stefanovski D, Buchanan TA, et al. A better index of body adiposity. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2011;19:1083–1089.
- [22] Freedman DS, Thornton J, Pi-Sunyer FX, et al. The body adiposity index (hip circumference ÷ height^{1.5}) is not a more accurate measure of adiposity than BMI, waist circumference, or waist-to-height ratio. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2012;20:2438–2444.
- [23] Krakauer NY, Krakauer JC. A new body shape index predicts mortality hazard independently of body mass index. *PLoS One.* 2012;7:e39504.
- [24] Lukaski HC, Bolanowski MA, Siders WA. Water and electrolyte distribution in humans: Effect of body build. *Am J Hum Biol.* 2000;12:174–180.
- [25] Kyle UG, Bosaeus I, De Lorenzo AD, et al. Bioelectrical impedance analysis – Part II: Utilization in clinical practice. *Clin Nutr.* 2004;23:1430–1453.

- [26] Clasey JL, Hartman ML, Kanaley JA, et al. Body composition by DEXA in older adults: Standardization of values for age, sex, and race. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 1999;86:1633–1638.
- [27] Going S. DEXA in obesity assessment: Review of reliability, validity, and limitations. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2004;12(Suppl):S8–S10.
- [28] Shen W, Punyanitya M, Wang Z, et al. Total body skeletal muscle and adipose tissue volumes: Estimation from a single abdominal cross-sectional image. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 2004;97:2333–2338.
- [29] Kuk JL, Church TS, Blair SN, Ross R. Measurement of abdominal fat by magnetic resonance imaging, is associated with metabolic risk factors independent of BMI. *J Am Coll Nutr*. 2006;25:294–296.
- [30] St-Onge MP, Gallagher D. Body composition changes with aging: The cause or the result of alterations in metabolic rate and macronutrient oxidation? *Nutrition*. 2010;26:152–155.
- [31] Nuttall FQ. Body mass index: Obesity, BMI, and health: A critical review. *Nutr Today*. 2015;50:117–128.
- [32] Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, et al. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: A Joint Interim Statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention. *Circulation*. 2009;120:1640–1645.
- [33] NIH, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Clinical guidelines on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults. 1998.
- [34] Gu D, Wildman RP, Wu X, et al. Incidence and predictors of hypertension over 8 years among Chinese men and women. *J Hypertens*. 2007;25:517–523.
- [35] WHO Expert Consultation. Waist circumference and waist-hip ratio: Report of a WHO Expert Consultation. Geneva, 2008.

- [36] Krakauer NY, Krakauer JC. Expansion of waist circumference in adulthood is associated with greater arterial stiffness and risk of atherosclerosis. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord.* 2016;16:234.
- [37] Gupta S, Kapoor S. Optimal cut-off values of anthropometric markers to define obesity in north Indian population. *Ann Hum Biol.* 2010;37:727–735.
- [38] Lean MEJ, Han TS. Waist management. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2002;76:699–700.
- [39] Bray GA. Classification and evaluation of the obesities. *Med Clin North Am.* 1989;73:161–184.
- [40] Lim SS, Davies MJ, Norman RJ, Moran LJ. Overweight, obesity and central obesity in women with PCOS: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update.* 2012;18:618–637.
- [41] He S, Chen X. Could the “hip index” predict cardiovascular risk? *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2013;21:617–619.
- [42] Biggs ML, Mukamal KJ, Luchsinger JA, et al. Association between adiposity in midlife and older age and risk of diabetes, heart disease, and mortality. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2013;173:1307–1315.
- [43] Bergman RN, Stefanovski D, Buchanan TA, et al. Validation of the body adiposity index in a Dutch population. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2011;19:1089–1090.
- [44] Johnson W, Ch E, Ntuk UE, et al. Assessing overweight and obesity in populations: Is there a better index of adiposity than BMI or waist circumference? *Int J Obes (Lond).* 2013;37:1199–1203.
- [45] Vazquez G, Duval S, Jacobs DR Jr, Silventoinen K. Comparison of body mass index, waist circumference, and waist/hip ratio in predicting incident diabetes: A meta-analysis. *Epidemiol Rev.* 2007;29:115–128.
- [46] Freedman DS, Thornton J, Pi-Sunyer FX, et al. The body adiposity index (hip circumference ÷ height^{1.5}) is not a more accurate measure of adiposity than BMI. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2012;20:2438–2444.

- [47] Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, et al. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries. *Lancet*. 2004;364:937–952.
- [48] WHO. Waist Circumference and Waist-Hip Ratio: Report of a WHO Expert Consultation. Geneva. 2011.
- [49] Yalcin BM, Unal M, Polat H, et al. The effect of a lifestyle modification intervention on metabolic syndrome components. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2009;22:535–546.
- [50] Janiszewski PM, Janssen I. Does waist circumference predict diabetes and cardiovascular disease beyond commonly evaluated cardiometabolic risk factors? *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30:3105–3109.
- [51] Zimmet PZ. Kelly West Lecture 1991: Challenges in diabetes epidemiology— from West to the rest. *Diabetes Care*. 1992;15:232–252.
- [52] Li Q, Jia P, Pan S, et al. Neck circumference as an independent predictor of cardio-metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2018;28:1095–1105.
- [53] Ashwell M, Gibson S. A proposal for a primary screening tool: “Keep your waist to less than half your height.” *BMJ*. 2014;349:g6227.
- [54] Lee CMY, Huxley RR, Wildman RP, Woodward M. Indices of abdominal obesity are better discriminators of cardiovascular risk factors than BMI. *Evid Based Med*. 2009;14:54–55.
- [55] Schneider HJ, Glaesmer H, Klotsche J, et al. Accuracy of anthropometric indicators of obesity to predict cardiovascular risk. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2007;92:589–594.
- [56] Ashwell M, Gunn P, Gibson S. Waist-to-height ratio is a better screening tool than waist circumference and BMI for adult cardiometabolic risk factors: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev*. 2012;13:275–286.

- [57] Yan W, Chen L, Ma Y, et al. Neck circumference and central obesity. *Chin J Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;32:296–299.
- [58] Preis SR, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, et al. Neck circumference as a new anthropometric measure in clinical practice and community-based research: Comparisons with body mass index and waist circumference. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2010;18:1932–1938.
- [59] Zhou J, Ge H, Zhu M, et al. Neck circumference as an independent predictive contributor to cardiometabolic syndrome. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2013;12:76.
- [60] Onat A, Hergenç G, Yüksel H, et al. Neck circumference as a measure of central obesity: Associations with metabolic syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2009;17:1167–1173.
- [61] Yang R, Zhu Q, Xia M, et al. Associations of body mass index, waist circumference, waist-to-height ratio and neck circumference with cardiometabolic risk factors in Chinese subjects with normal weight obesity. *PLoS One.* 2018;13:e0194478.
- [62] Stabe C, Vasques AC, Lima MM, et al. Neck circumference as a simple tool for identifying high cardiometabolic risk in overweight and obese patients. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* 2013;23:e2–7.
- [63] Ben-Noun L, Laor A. Relationship of neck circumference to cardiovascular risk factors. *Obes Res.* 2003;11:226–231.
- [64] Lam BCC, Koh GCH, Chen C, et al. Comparison of body mass index, body adiposity index, and other anthropometric indices in predicting cardiovascular disease risk factors in an Asian population. *PLoS One.* 2015;10:e0121054.
- [65] Heyward VH, Wagner DR. *Applied Body Composition Assessment.* Human Kinetics, 2004.
- [66] Durnin JV, Womersley J. Body fat assessed from total body density and its estimation from skinfold thickness: Measurements on 481 men and women. *Br J Nutr.* 1974;32:77–97.

- [67] Krakauer NY, Krakauer JC. An a body shape index (ABSI) predictor of chronic disease mortality. PLoS One. 2012;7:e39504.
- [68] Lukaski HC. Bioelectrical impedance analysis: A practical tool for the assessment of body composition. Nutrition. 1996;12:723–727.
- [69] Wang Z, Deurenberg P, Wang W, et al. Hydration of fat-free body mass: Review and critique of a classic body-composition constant. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999;69:833–841.
- [70] Gallagher D, Heymsfield SB, Heo M, et al. Healthy percentage body fat ranges: An approach for developing guidelines. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000;72:694–701.
- [71] Silva AM, Fields DA, Heymsfield SB, Sardinha LB. Body composition and power changes in elite judo athletes. Int J Sports Med. 2010;31:737–741.
- [72] Pietrobelli A, Formica C, Wang ZM, Heymsfield SB. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry body composition model: Review of physical concepts. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1996;101:315–322.
- [73] Plank LD. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry and body composition. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2005;8:305–309.
- [74] Clasey JL, Bouchard C, Teates CD, et al. The use of dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry in the assessment of body composition changes in young men and women. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999;69:110–115.
- [75] Mitsiopoulos N, Baumgartner RN, Heymsfield SB, et al. Cadaver validation of skeletal muscle measurement by magnetic resonance imaging and computerized tomography. J Appl Physiol (1985). 1998;85:115–122.
- [76] Shen W, Wang Z, Punyanitya M, et al. Visceral adipose tissue: Relations between single-slice areas and total volume. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;80:271–278.
- [77] Deurenberg P, Yap M. The assessment of obesity: Methods for measuring body fat and global prevalence of obesity. Baillieres Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1999;13:1–11.

[78] Rothney MP, Brychta RJ, Schaefer EV, et al. Body composition measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry half-body scans in obese adults. *Obesity* (Silver Spring). 2009;17:1281–1286.